

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 09/886,659	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BROWN, DAVID A
		<b>Examiner</b> KRISTIE D SHINGLES	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444

**All Participants:**

(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Exmr.

(2) Jeffery Armstrong, Atty.

**Date of Interview:** 23 February 2010

**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 12:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*Double Patenting from 11/23/04 Non-Final Rejection*

**Claims discussed:**  
 26-49

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
 USPN 6880064

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*With Primary's Approval, Exmr contacted Atty to inform that the pending claims are in condition for allowance pending the submission of a Terminal Disclaimer for the Double Patenting rejection which was held in abeyance by the Atty at that time in the response to the Non-Final rejection mailed 11/23/04. Atty agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer referencing USPN 6880064. The Terminal Disclaimer has been considered and accepted by the Office.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)